

The Eclipse of Sacred Order: Philip Rieff's Critique of Community in the Therapeutic Age

Dr. Emily Carter¹, Prof. Michael Thompson², Dr. Laura Müller³

¹Department of Sociology, University of Chicago, USA

²Department of Cultural Studies, University of Cambridge, UK

³Institute for Social Research, Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

Doi <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijssll-05-05-01>

ABSTRACT

This article explores Philip Rieff's critical analysis of modern society's shift from traditional sacred orders to the dominance of therapeutic culture. Rieff argues that the erosion of communal sacred frameworks has led to the rise of individualism and self-fulfillment as primary social values, undermining collective authority and shared meaning. The paper examines how this transition impacts the cohesion and identity of communities, highlighting the consequences of therapeutic culture's focus on personal well-being over social responsibility. By revisiting Rieff's critique, the article provides insight into the challenges facing contemporary community life and the potential paths toward reconstituting social order.

Keywords: Philip Rieff, therapeutic culture, sacred order, community, individualism, social cohesion, modernity, cultural critique.

INTRODUCTION

Philip Rieff (1922–2006), a profound and often pessimistic social theorist, offered a searing critique of modern Western culture, arguing for a fundamental shift in the nature of authority, community, and the self [2, 9, 10]. His work, particularly *The Triumph of the Therapeutic* [8], posited that the decline of traditional sacred orders has given way to a "therapeutic" ethos, where psychological well-being and individual self-fulfillment supersede collective moral imperatives and cultural prohibitions [8, 12]. This transformation, Rieff contended, has profound implications for the very possibility of genuine community, leading to what he termed a "deathwork" culture characterized by the erosion of shared meanings and authoritative ideals [3]. This article explores Rieff's central arguments concerning the disintegration of community under the long shadow of the analytic and the therapeutic, drawing connections to his broader critique of modern society and its implications for social thought.

Rieff's intellectual project was deeply rooted in his engagement with Sigmund Freud, whom he interpreted not merely as a psychologist but as a "moralist" who revealed the underlying structure of Western civilization's "faith" [7]. For Rieff, every culture is defined by its "interdicts" or

prohibitions, which shape character and create a shared moral order, thereby enabling community [8, 21]. The crisis of modernity, in his view, stems from the systematic dismantling of these interdicts, leading to a culture of "release" rather than "commitment" [8]. This shift, Rieff argued, has left individuals adrift, pursuing an endless quest for self-actualization without the anchoring provided by a transcendent, sacred framework [8, 43, 44, 45]. The central question guiding this inquiry is how Rieff's pessimistic outlook on the rise of the therapeutic culture illuminates the challenges facing contemporary notions of community. This study aims to delineate Rieff's core concepts, such as the "therapeutic man," "deathworks," and the "crisis of the officer class," and to analyze their collective impact on the erosion of social bonds and shared authority. By synthesizing his key theoretical contributions, we seek to understand the depth of his critique and its enduring relevance in an age increasingly defined by individualism and psychological introspection [26, 27, 48, 49].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research employs a conceptual and theoretical analysis of Philip Rieff's extensive body of work, focusing

primarily on his major texts: *The Triumph of the Therapeutic* [8], *My Life among the Deathworks* [3], *The Crisis of the Officer Class* [4], *The Jew of Culture* [5], *Charisma* [6], *Freud: The Mind of the Moralizer* [7], and *Fellow Teachers* [11]. Secondary literature on Rieff's thought, including biographical accounts [1] and critical interpretations [2, 9, 10, 12], also informed the analysis, providing context and different perspectives on his complex ideas.

The methodology involved a close reading of Rieff's arguments to identify recurring themes related to community, authority, and the cultural shift from sacred to therapeutic modes of social organization. Specific attention was paid to his use of concepts such as "interdicts," "deathworks," "therapeutic man," and the "analytic" as a cultural force. The analysis also drew connections between Rieff's ideas and those of other prominent social theorists who have critically **examined modernity, selfhood, and community. These include:**

- **Classical and Communitarian Thought:** Aristotle's *Politics* [19] and Alasdair MacIntyre's *After Virtue* [20, 37, 38], which provide a contrast to Rieff's account of a lost moral order and the decline of virtue-based communities.
- **Marxist Theory:** Karl Marx's critique of alienation and his vision of community [15, 16, 17, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33], which offers a different lens through which to understand the disintegration of social bonds.
- **Critiques of Modernity and the Self:** The works of Christopher Lasch on narcissism [48, 51, 52, 53], Richard Sennett on character and public life [27, 40, 41, 42, 47], Charles Taylor on authenticity [44, 45, 46], and George Simmel on the philosophy of money and urban life [28], which resonate with Rieff's concerns about the modern self and the erosion of public spheres.
- **Sociological Tradition:** Robert Nisbet's work on the sociological tradition [18] helped contextualize Rieff within broader intellectual currents concerned with the loss of community.

The synthesis aimed to articulate Rieff's unique contribution to social theory, highlighting the depth of his pessimism regarding the prospects for robust community in a post-sacred, therapeutic age. The selection of references was guided by their direct relevance to Rieff's arguments or their capacity to provide a comparative framework for understanding his critique.

RESULTS

Philip Rieff's analysis of modern culture reveals a pervasive "pessimism" regarding the future of community, stemming

from what he identified as the "long shadow of the analytic" and the triumph of the therapeutic ethos [2, 8, 9]. His core arguments illuminate a profound transformation in Western societies, moving away from a culture structured by sacred prohibitions towards one dominated by individual psychological well-being and a relentless pursuit of self-fulfillment.

The Decline of Sacred Order and the Rise of the Therapeutic:

Rieff argued that all cultures are founded upon a "sacred order" – a system of shared beliefs, rituals, and, crucially, "interdicts" or prohibitions that define what is permissible and what is forbidden [8, 21]. These interdicts provide the moral framework necessary for character formation and the coherence of community. In his seminal work, *The Triumph of the Therapeutic* [8], Rieff contended that the modern West has witnessed the systematic dismantling of these sacred interdicts, largely under the influence of psychoanalytic thought (the "analytic") which, in his view, inadvertently contributed to a culture of "release" from moral constraints rather than a new form of moral authority [7, 8]. This shift results in the "therapeutic man," an individual primarily concerned with personal psychological equilibrium and the management of feelings, rather than adherence to external moral codes or communal obligations [8, 43, 44, 45]. This contrasts sharply with earlier forms of community rooted in shared virtues and a common good, as described by Aristotle [19] and later by MacIntyre [20].

The "Deathworks" and the Erosion of Cultural Prohibitions:

In *My Life among the Deathworks* [3], Rieff elaborated on the consequences of this cultural shift, introducing the concept of "deathworks." These are cultural artifacts, ideas, or institutions that, rather than transmitting and reinforcing sacred prohibitions, actively undermine them, leading to a "negative charisma" [3, 6]. Deathworks represent a culture's self-destructive impulse, where the very mechanisms meant to preserve and transmit meaning instead contribute to its dissolution. This includes art, literature, and even academic thought that celebrate transgression and the breaking of taboos without offering new, binding commitments [3]. The result is a cultural landscape where "nothing is sacred," leading to a profound sense of nihilism and a loss of shared purpose necessary for communal life [39].

THE CRISIS OF THE OFFICER CLASS AND THE DECLINE OF AUTHORITY:

Rieff also identified a "crisis of the officer class," referring to the erosion of authority among those traditionally responsible for upholding and transmitting cultural ideals and prohibitions [4]. This includes teachers, religious leaders, and other figures of moral authority. In a therapeutic culture, the "officer" no longer commands respect through moral authority but rather seeks to facilitate individual self-expression, often at the expense of collective discipline or shared values [4, 11]. This decline in authoritative figures contributes to the fragmentation of community, as there are no longer clear guides or exemplars for collective action and moral conduct [22, 23]. This resonates with Sennett's observations on the decline of public man and the shift towards an intimate, privatized self [27].

The Loss of Tragic Sensibility:

Rieff argued that the therapeutic ethos replaces a "tragic sensibility" – the understanding that life involves inherent limits, suffering, and unavoidable moral dilemmas – with a focus on perpetual happiness and the elimination of discomfort [4]. The tragic sensibility, for Rieff, was essential for developing character and appreciating the gravity of moral choices, fostering a sense of shared human condition that binds individuals in community [4, 24]. Without it, individuals are less equipped to confront the inherent difficulties of collective life and compromise, leading to a superficiality that undermines deep social bonds [43, 44].

The Individual in a Therapeutic Society:

The "therapeutic man" is characterized by an internal focus, a preoccupation with feelings, and an endless quest for "authenticity" [43, 44, 45, 46]. This pursuit, however, often leads to a "minimal self" [51] that is detached from external realities and communal obligations [48, 52, 53]. This resonates strongly with Christopher Lasch's critique of narcissism [48, 51, 52, 53] and Jean Twenge's work on the "narcissism epidemic" [49]. The emphasis on individual "release" and "self-actualization" inadvertently fosters a profound loneliness and an inability to form lasting, meaningful connections, further eroding the foundations of community [36, 40]. The public sphere, as described by Sennett, shrinks, replaced by a focus on private anxieties and personal narratives [27, 41].

DISCUSSION

Rieff's pessimistic assessment of modern society offers a powerful, albeit often unsettling, lens through which to understand the contemporary challenges facing community. His core insight—that the decline of sacred orders and the rise of a therapeutic culture fundamentally alter the nature of social bonds—remains highly relevant. Unlike Marxist

critiques that focus on economic structures and alienation [15, 16, 17, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33], Rieff's analysis centers on the cultural and psychological shifts that undermine shared authority and collective purpose. While Marx envisioned a new form of community emerging from historical struggle [17, 30], Rieff saw a continuous erosion of the very conditions necessary for such a community to exist.

Rieff's work finds strong echoes in the critiques of other prominent social theorists of modernity. Christopher Lasch, heavily influenced by Rieff [1], developed the concept of the "culture of narcissism," arguing that the therapeutic ethos fosters a self-absorbed individual incapable of genuine civic engagement or enduring relationships [48, 51, 52, 53]. Both Rieff and Lasch saw the family unit, traditionally a key site of moral formation, as "besieged" by external forces and internal pathologies [52]. Richard Sennett's work on the "corrosion of character" and the "fall of public man" [27, 40, 41, 42] further complements Rieff's analysis by detailing how the demands of modern capitalism and the emphasis on flexibility erode stable social roles and communal trust, leading to "destructive Gemeinschaft" [47]. The shift from institutional roles to impulse-driven selves, as noted by Ralph H. Turner [50], aligns with Rieff's "therapeutic man."

Alasdair MacIntyre's *After Virtue* [20] similarly laments the loss of a shared moral language and a teleological understanding of human flourishing, arguing that modern moral discourse is fragmented and incoherent. While MacIntyre seeks to recover an Aristotelian tradition of virtues [19, 20, 37, 38], Rieff's pessimism suggests that the cultural conditions for such a recovery may be irretrievably lost. The "compartmentalization" of social roles that MacIntyre critiques [38] can be seen as a manifestation of the therapeutic tendency to separate private feeling from public obligation.

Rieff's concept of "deathworks" provides a powerful framework for understanding how contemporary culture, far from being neutral, actively contributes to the dissolution of shared meaning. This extends beyond explicit anti-authoritarianism to subtle forms of cultural production that prioritize individual expression over collective coherence. The "feeling intellect" [21] becomes detached from its grounding in sacred order, leading to intellectual products that are brilliant but ultimately corrosive to social cohesion.

The enduring relevance of Rieff's pessimism lies in its prescience regarding the challenges of a post-sacred age. His work forces us to confront the uncomfortable question of whether a robust sense of community can truly thrive when the foundational "interdicts" that once bound individuals together have been systematically dismantled. While some might argue for new forms of community based on shared interests or identity, Rieff's critique

suggests that such communities may lack the depth, resilience, and moral authority of those rooted in a transcendent order. The very pursuit of "authenticity" [43, 44, 45, 46], often seen as a virtue, becomes problematic in Rieff's view, as it can devolve into a self-referential narcissism that undermines the capacity for genuine connection and commitment [36, 48, 49].

Conclusion

Philip Rieff's pessimistic social thought offers a profound and challenging critique of modern culture, particularly its impact on the nature of community. His analysis of the "triumph of the therapeutic" over sacred order, the proliferation of "deathworks," and the "crisis of the officer class" collectively paints a picture of a society increasingly fragmented and devoid of binding moral authority. Rieff argued that the relentless pursuit of individual psychological well-being, while seemingly benign, ultimately erodes the shared prohibitions and collective commitments that are essential for robust communal life.

While his outlook is undeniably bleak, Rieff's work remains highly relevant for understanding the persistent challenges of individualism, narcissism, and the search for meaning in contemporary society. His insights resonate with other critical theorists who have diagnosed the pathologies of modernity, from Lasch's "culture of narcissism" to Sennett's "corrosion of character." Rieff compels us to consider the often-unseen costs of a culture that prioritizes "release" over "commitment" and personal feeling over collective obligation. His enduring contribution lies in forcing us to confront the difficult question of whether true community can exist without a shared sacred order, or whether the "long shadow of the analytic" has indeed cast a permanent pall over our collective future.

REFERENCES

1. Miller, Eric. *Hope in a Scattering Time: A Life of Christopher Lasch*. Grand Rapids: W. B. Eerdmans Publishing, 2010.
2. Zondervan, Antonious. *Sociology and the Sacred: An Introduction to Philip Rieff's Theory of Culture*. Toronto: University Press of Toronto, 2005.
3. Rieff, Philip. *My Life among the Deathworks: Illustrations of the Aesthetics of Authority*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 2006.
4. Rieff, Philip. *The Crisis of the Officer Class: The Decline of the Tragic Sensibility*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 2007.
5. Rieff, Philip. *The Jew of Culture: Freud, Moses, and Modernity*. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 2008.
6. Rieff, Philip. *Charisma: The Gift of Grace and How it Has Been Taken away from Us*. New York: Pantheon Books, 2007.
7. Rieff, Philip. *Freud: The Mind of the Moralizer*. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1979.
8. Rieff, Philip. *The Triumph of the Therapeutic: Uses of Faith after Freud*. London: Chatto & Windus, 1966.
9. Turner, Charles. "Sacred Sociology: The Life and Times of Philip Rieff." *Theory, Culture & Society* 28 (2011): 80–105.
10. Manning, Philip. "Philip Rieff's Moral Vision of Sociology." *Journal of Classical Sociology* 3 (2003): 235–46.
11. Rieff, Philip. *Fellow Teachers*. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1973.
12. Posnock, Ross. "Under the Sign of Sontag: Philip Rieff and the Uses of Humiliation." *Raritan* 29 (2010): 47–73.
13. Turner, Bryan S. "Religious Speech: The Ineffable Nature of Religious Communication in the Information Age." *Theory, Culture and Society* 25 (2009): 219–35.
14. Gordon, Avery. *Ghostly Matters: Haunting and the Sociological Imagination*. Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press, 1996.
15. Meikle, Scott. *Essentialism in the Thought of Karl Marx*. London: Duckworth, 1985.
16. McCarthy, George. *Marx and the Ancients: Classical Ethics, Social Justice, and Nineteenth-Century Political Economy*. London: Rowman & Littlefield, 1990.
17. Katz, Claudio. "The Socialist Polis: Antiquity and Socialism in Marx's Thought." *The Review of Politics* 56 (1994): 237–60.
18. Nisbet, Robert. *The Sociological Tradition*. London: Hutchinson, 1993.
19. Aristotle. *The Politics*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1946.
20. MacIntyre, Alasdair. *After Virtue*. London: Duckworth, 1981.
21. Rieff, Philip. *The Feeling Intellect: Selected Writings*. Edited by Jonathan B. Imber. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1990.
22. Turner, Bryan S. "Personhood and Citizenship." *Theory, Culture and Society* 3 (1986): 1–16.
23. Turner, Bryan S. *Rights and Virtues: Political Essays on Citizenship and Social Justice*. London: Bardwell Press, 2011.
24. Steiner, George. *Antigones: The Antigone Myth in Western Literature, Art and Thought*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1986.
25. Osborne, Peter. *The Politics of Time: Modernity and the Avant-Garde*. London: Verso, 2011.
26. Wahrman, Dror. *The Making of the Modern Self*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2004.

27. Sennett, Richard. *The Fall of Public Man*. New York: Knopf, 1977.
28. Simmel, George. *The Philosophy of Money*. London: Routledge Keegan Paul, 1978.
29. Marx, Karl. "On the Jewish Question." In *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*. Edited by David McLellan. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977.
30. Gould, Carol. *Marx's Social Ontology: Individuality and Community in Marx's Theory of Social Reality*. London: The MIT Press, 1980.
31. Rosdolsky, Roman. *The Making of Marx's Capital*. London: Pluto Press, 1977.
32. Berki, Robert. N. *Insight and Vision: The Problem of Communism in Marx's Thought*. London: J. M. Dent and Son, 1983.
33. Gouldner, Alvin. *The Two Marxisms: Contradictions and Anomalies in the Development of Theory*. London: Macmillan, 1980.
34. Fevre, Ralph. *The Demoralization of Western Culture: Social Theory and the Dilemmas of Modern Living*. London: Continuum, 2000.
35. Ferguson, Harvie. "Watching the World go Round." In *Lifestyle Shopping*. Edited by Robert Shields. London: Routledge, 1992.
36. Jacoby, Russell. "Narcissism and the Crisis of Capitalism." *Telos* 1980 (1980): 58–65.
37. McMylor, Peter. *Alasdair MacIntyre: Critic of Modernity*. London: Routledge, 1994.
38. McMylor, Peter. "Compartmentalization and Social Roles: MacIntyre's Critical Theory of Modernity." In *Virtue and Politics: Alasdair MacIntyre's Revolutionary Aristotelianism*. Edited by Kelvin Knight and Paul Blackledge. South Bend: University of Notre Dame Press, 2011.
39. Steiner, George. *On Difficulty and Other Essays*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1978.
40. Sennett, Richard. *The Corrosion of Character*. New York and London: Norton, 1998.
41. Sennett, Richard. *The Culture of the New Capitalism*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007.
42. Sennett, Richard, and Jonathan Cobb. *The Hidden Injuries of Class*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
43. Trilling, Lionel. *Sincerity and Authenticity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1972.
44. Taylor, Charles. *Sources of the Self*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1989.
45. Taylor, Charles. *The Ethics of Authenticity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1992.
46. Lowney, Charles. "Authenticity and the Reconciliation of Modernity." *The Pluralist* 4 (2009): 33–50.
47. Sennett, Richard. "Destructive Gemeinschaft." In *Beyond the Crisis*. Edited by Norman Birnbaum. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977.
48. Lasch, Christopher. *The Culture of Narcissism*. Abacus: London, 1991.
49. Twenge, Jean M., and Keith Campbell. *The Narcissism Epidemic: Living in an Age of Entitlement*. New York: Free Press, 2010.
50. Turner, Ralph H. "The real self: From institution to impulse." *American Journal of Sociology* 81 (1976): 989–1016.
51. Lasch, Christopher. *The Minimal Self: Psychic Survival in Troubled Times*. London: Pan Books Ltd., 1985.
52. Lasch, Christopher. *Haven in a Heartless World: The Family Besieged*. New York: Basic Books, 1977.
53. Lasch, Christopher. "Life in the Therapeutic State." In *Women and the Common Life*. Edited by Elizabeth Lasch-Quinn. New York: Norton, 1997.
54. Braverman, Harry. *Labor and Monopoly Capital*. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1974.