

Unpacking Dualities: Gender, Identity, and Nationalist Narratives in India

Dr. Meera Choudhury¹, Prof. Arvind Menon²

¹Department of Political Science, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

²Centre for Gender Studies, University of Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Doi <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijssl-04-12-01>

ABSTRACT

Nationalist narratives are foundational to the construction of collective identity and often operate through the establishment of binary oppositions that define who belongs and who is excluded. In the context of India, the intricate interplay of historical, social, and political forces has shaped nationalist discourses that frequently rely on gendered dualities to articulate national aspirations and cultural values. This article critically dissects the pervasive "binaries" embedded within Indian nationalist narratives, with a particular focus on how gendered identities are constructed, mobilized, and constrained. Drawing upon theoretical frameworks of nationalism, identity politics, and feminist critiques, this analysis examines the public/private divide, the masculinization of the nation, and the prescribed roles for women within the nationalist project. The findings reveal that these binary constructs are not merely descriptive but are active instruments in shaping power relations, reinforcing patriarchal structures, and influencing the very fabric of national belonging. Understanding these deeply ingrained dualities is crucial for a comprehensive appreciation of India's socio-political landscape and the ongoing contestations over identity.

Keywords: Gender identity, nationalism, India, cultural dualities, political narratives, feminist theory, postcolonial discourse, identity politics, gender roles.

INTRODUCTION

Nationalism, as a powerful ideology and a form of collective identity, is fundamentally constructed through narratives that define a shared past, present, and future for a particular group of people [6, 7]. These narratives are rarely monolithic; instead, they often rely on the creation and reinforcement of "binaries"—dichotomous categories that simplify complex realities and delineate boundaries between "us" and "them," "tradition" and "modernity," or "public" and "private." In the Indian context, the struggle for independence and the subsequent nation-building process gave rise to multifaceted nationalist discourses that, while diverse, frequently employed such binary oppositions to articulate a distinct Indian identity in opposition to colonial rule and to define the contours of the new nation.

A crucial aspect of these nationalist narratives, particularly in India, has been the pervasive gendering of the nation and the assignment of specific roles to men and women within the national project. The question of femininity and the concept of

identity politics have been employed to locate the real texture of power relations within the literature of Indian nationalism [1]. The nation itself is often imagined as a community [2], a process that involves significant cultural shifts and state formation [3]. However, this imagination is rarely gender-neutral. Scholars have highlighted how nationalism can be inherently heterosexist, reinforcing traditional gender roles and often excluding non-normative sexualities [5]. The politics of belonging, therefore, becomes an intersectional contestation, where gender, class, religion, and other identities intersect to define who truly belongs to the nation [8].

Despite extensive scholarship on Indian nationalism, a focused dissection of the explicit and implicit binaries that underpin these narratives, especially concerning gender, remains a vital area of inquiry. While works have explored women's roles in nationalist movements [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36] and the "women's question" within nationalist discourse [14, 21], a comprehensive analysis of the *binary structures* themselves, how they are constructed, and their enduring impact on identity and power relations, is essential. This

article aims to address this gap by systematically analyzing the construction and implications of these binaries.

The primary objective of this article is to critically examine the various binary oppositions embedded within Indian nationalist narratives, specifically focusing on how these dualities define and constrain gendered identities, shape social norms, and ultimately contribute to the broader project of nation-building. By dissecting these constructs, the study seeks to illuminate the inherent power dynamics and ideological underpinnings of Indian nationalism.

METHODOLOGY

Analytical Approach

This study employs a critical textual analysis approach, drawing upon established theoretical frameworks in nationalism studies, postcolonial theory, and feminist critiques of identity. The methodology is primarily qualitative, focusing on the deconstruction of narrative structures and ideological underpinnings within the provided academic literature. The aim is not to generate new empirical data but to synthesize and re-interpret existing scholarly insights to reveal the patterns of binary construction in Indian nationalist discourses.

Data Sources and Theoretical Framework

The core "data" for this analysis comprises the comprehensive list of academic references provided. These texts serve as the primary source material, offering diverse perspectives on Indian nationalism, gender, identity politics, and historical contexts. The analysis systematically engages with these scholarly works to identify recurring themes, arguments, and conceptualizations related to binary oppositions.

The theoretical framework guiding this dissection is multi-layered:

- **Constructivist Theories of Nationalism:** Drawing on scholars like Benedict Anderson [2] and Ernest Gellner [6], this framework views the nation as an "imagined community" or a product of specific historical and cultural processes rather than a primordial entity. This allows for an examination of how narratives are deliberately constructed.
- **Identity Politics and Power Relations:** Informed by V. Spike Peterson [1, 5] and N. Yuval-Davis [8], this lens helps in understanding how nationalism, as a form of identity politics, is intrinsically linked to power relations, defining who belongs and who is marginalized. The concept of

"intersectionality" [8] is crucial here, recognizing that gender intersects with other identities (class, caste, religion) to shape experiences of belonging.

- **Feminist Critiques of Nationalism:** Building on the work of Anne McClintock [11, 12], Partha Chatterjee [14, 18, 21], and others [13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37], this perspective is central to analyzing how nationalist projects often rely on gendered divisions of labor and symbolic representations. It explores how women are simultaneously valorized as symbols of the nation and confined to specific, often subordinate, roles.
- **Postcolonial Perspectives:** The analysis is situated within a postcolonial context, acknowledging how nationalist narratives in India emerged in response to colonial rule and often internalized or reconfigured colonial binaries.

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, the study aims to provide a nuanced and critical understanding of how binaries function within Indian nationalist narratives, particularly in shaping gender and identity.

RESULTS

The dissection of Indian nationalist narratives, through the lens of the provided scholarly works, reveals a consistent reliance on various binary constructions that have profoundly shaped the understanding of nation, identity, and gender roles.

The Public/Private Binary and the Gendering of the Nation

A central binary in Indian nationalist discourse, particularly in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, was the division between the 'public' and 'private' spheres. This division was strategically employed to counter colonial critiques of Indian society and to define a distinct national identity. As Chatterjee argues, the nationalist project sought to resolve the "women's question" by dividing the world into a material domain (public) and a spiritual domain (private) [14, 21]. The public sphere, associated with the colonial administration, economy, and modern institutions, was seen as a realm where Indians had to adapt to Western norms. However, the private sphere – the home, family, and women – was designated as the repository of authentic Indian tradition, culture, and spirituality [14, 18, 21].

- **Women as Symbols of the Nation:** Within this framework, women were often idealized and

valorized as the embodiment of the nation, frequently personified as "Mother India" (Bharat Mata) [37]. This symbolic elevation, however, came with specific prescriptions for their behavior and roles. Women were expected to uphold traditional values, maintain the purity of the domestic sphere, and preserve indigenous culture against colonial encroachment [13, 14, 18, 21]. This created a binary where women were simultaneously revered as symbols and confined to a circumscribed domain, limiting their public agency.

- **The "New Woman" and Tradition:** The nationalist discourse attempted to create a "new woman" who was educated and modern but remained within the bounds of tradition, distinct from both the uneducated rural woman and the Westernized woman [14, 21]. This construction maintained a delicate balance, allowing for a degree of female emancipation while ensuring that it did not challenge patriarchal structures fundamentally [14, 21, 23]. As Sangari and Vaid note, the process involved "recasting women" within colonial history [15].
- **Confinement to the Inner Domain:** The nationalist narrative often emphasized women's role in the "inner" domain of the home, contrasting it with men's engagement in the "outer" world of politics and economy [14, 18]. This binary effectively depoliticized women's domestic labor and confined their agency primarily to the family unit, even as they were symbolically central to the nation's spiritual strength [14, 18, 21]. Roy's work highlights how identities in colonial and post-colonial India were questioned, particularly regarding women's roles [22, 23].

The Masculinization of Nationalism

While the nation was often feminized symbolically, the active agency required for national struggle and state-building was predominantly masculinized. Nationalism, in many contexts, entails a process of masculinization, linking national strength, honor, and defense to male virility and martial prowess [28, 29].

- **Ideal of Manliness:** The ideal of a strong, sacrificing, and disciplined male figure was central to the nationalist imagination, drawing parallels with concepts like "Christian manliness" in Victorian literature [28]. This ideal was adapted to the Indian context, where male nationalists were expected to embody strength, self-control, and a readiness to defend the nation.
- **Hindu Nationalism and Masculinity:** In the context of Hindu nationalism, this masculinization became particularly pronounced. The discourse often emphasized a strong, assertive Hindu male identity, contrasting it with perceived weakness or effeminacy attributed to others [29, 30]. This binary between a strong, masculine "self" and a weaker, often feminized "other" served to mobilize

support and define the ideological boundaries of the nation. Banerjee further explores this masculinization of Hinduism and its impact on female political participation [27, 30].

- **Exclusion of Non-Normative Identities:** The emphasis on normative masculinity and femininity within these binaries often led to the marginalization or exclusion of non-normative sexualities and gender identities. Peterson argues that nationalism can be inherently heterosexist, reinforcing a specific gender order [5]. The politics of belonging, therefore, becomes a site of contestation for those who do not fit neatly into these prescribed binaries [8]. Naaz's work specifically examines women's sexuality in Indian nationalist discourse, highlighting its regulation and control [26, 34].

Resistance and Subversion of Binaries

Despite the pervasive nature of these binaries, the historical record also shows instances of resistance and subversion. Women were not merely passive recipients of nationalist dictates; many actively participated in the freedom struggle, often challenging the very boundaries imposed upon them.

- **Women's Political Participation:** Women participated in various forms of political action, from non-violent civil disobedience to revolutionary activities, sometimes stepping beyond the domestic sphere and into the public domain [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Minault's work on "Purdah Politics" highlights the role of Muslim women in Indian nationalism, demonstrating how they navigated and sometimes subverted traditional norms to engage in political life [31, 32].
- **Feminist Movements:** The emergence of feminist movements in India, even during the colonial period, also challenged the prescribed roles for women and questioned the patriarchal underpinnings of society, including those within nationalist thought [36]. Kumar's "History of Doing" provides an account of movements for women's rights [24].
- **Contesting Narratives:** Scholars like Radhakrishnan have explored how gender and narrative intersect in nationalism, suggesting avenues for contesting dominant narratives [25]. The very act of analyzing these binaries is a form of intellectual resistance, exposing the ideological work they perform.

DISCUSSION

The dissection of Indian nationalist narratives reveals that the construction of binaries, particularly gendered ones, is a fundamental strategy for defining national identity and mobilizing populations. The public/private dichotomy, as articulated by Chatterjee [14, 18, 21], was a sophisticated response to colonialism, allowing nationalists to claim modernity while simultaneously preserving a distinct cultural core embodied by women. This "resolution of the women's question" was not a genuine liberation but rather a strategic containment, where women's symbolic elevation served to reinforce patriarchal control over their actual lives and agency [14, 21].

The masculinization of nationalism, evident in the emphasis on male strength and leadership, further solidified these gendered hierarchies. This process, as discussed by Banerjee [27, 30] and Vance [28], created an ideal national subject that was inherently male, often marginalizing female contributions or framing them within traditional supportive roles. The intersectional analysis, as advocated by Yuval-Davis [8], is crucial here, as the experiences of women within nationalism varied significantly based on their class, caste, and religious identities. For instance, the participation of Muslim women, as studied by Minault [31, 32], demonstrates how religious identity could intersect with gender to shape distinct forms of nationalist engagement.

The implications of these binaries extend beyond the historical period of the freedom struggle. They continue to shape contemporary political and social discourses in India, influencing debates on women's rights, gender roles, and national identity. The idealized image of the traditional Indian woman, often rooted in these nationalist narratives, can still be invoked to resist feminist advancements or to define "authentic" Indian womanhood. Similarly, the masculinized image of the nation can contribute to aggressive forms of nationalism and the marginalization of dissenting voices or minority groups.

While the narratives sought to create a unified "imagined community" [2], the inherent binaries often created internal divisions and exclusions. The emphasis on a singular national identity, often tied to specific cultural or religious symbols, implicitly or explicitly marginalized those who did not conform to the prescribed norms. This highlights the critical function of binaries in defining not just who *is* part of the nation, but also who *is not*.

The acts of resistance and subversion, however, demonstrate that these binaries were never fully stable or uncontested. Women's active participation, the emergence of feminist thought [36], and the ongoing academic critiques [25, 26] all serve to challenge and deconstruct these imposed dualities,

revealing the fluidity and contestability of national identity. The study of these binaries, therefore, is not merely an academic exercise but a crucial step towards understanding the complex power dynamics embedded within national narratives and working towards more inclusive and equitable societies.

CONCLUSION

The analysis of Indian nationalist narratives reveals a profound reliance on binary constructions that have played a pivotal role in shaping national identity, gender roles, and power relations. The public/private dichotomy, the symbolic feminization of the nation coupled with the practical masculinization of nationalist agency, and the prescribed roles for women within the national project are all manifestations of these deeply ingrained dualities. These binaries were not accidental; they were strategically employed to define a distinct Indian identity in the face of colonialism, to manage social change, and to mobilize popular support.

While women were elevated as symbols of the nation, their agency was often confined to the domestic sphere, and their participation in the public realm was carefully circumscribed. The masculinization of nationalist discourse further reinforced patriarchal structures, linking national strength to normative male attributes. However, the historical record also demonstrates instances of resistance and subversion, where women and feminist movements challenged these imposed boundaries, highlighting the inherent tensions and contestations within nationalist projects.

Understanding these binary constructs is essential for a critical appreciation of India's historical trajectory and its contemporary socio-political landscape. They continue to influence debates on gender equality, cultural authenticity, and national belonging. Dissecting these dualities allows for a deeper insight into the ideological underpinnings of nationalism and the ongoing struggles for more inclusive and equitable forms of identity and citizenship. Future research could further explore the impact of globalization, digital media, and the rise of new social movements on the evolution and contestation of these historical binaries in India.

REFERENCES

1. Peterson, V. Spike, 'The Politics of Identity and Gendered Nationalism', In Laura Neack, Patrick J. Haney and Jeone A.K. Hey ed. Foreign Policy Analysis:

- Continuity & Change in its Second Generation (New York: Englewood Cliffs, 1995), pp. 167-186.
2. Anderson, Benedict, *Imagined Communities*, (Verso, London, 1991).
 3. Corrigan Philip and Derek Sayer, ed., *The Great Arch: English State Formation as Cultural Revolution*, (Oxford & New York, Basil Blackwell, 1985).
 4. Gleason, Gregory, 'Nationalism in our Time', In *Current World Leaders*, 34, 2, (1991), pp. 213 -234.
 5. Peterson V. Spike, 'Sexing Political Identities/Nationalism as Heterosexism', In *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 1, 1, (1999), p. 37.
 6. Gellner, Ernest, *Nation and Nationalism*, (Ithaca; Cornell University Press, 1983), p. 6.
 7. Smith, Anthony D., *Nationalism: Theory, Ideology, History* (Cambridge, Polity Press, 2001), p.11.
 8. Yuval – Davis, N., *The Politics of Belonging: Intersectional Contestations*, (Sage, London, 2011), pp. 86 - 94.
 9. Ozkirimli, Umut, *Theories of Nationalism: A Critical Introduction*, (Macmillan, Basingstoke 2005), p. 163.
 10. Ozkirimli, Umut, *Theories of Nationalism: A Critical Introduction*, (Macmillan, Basingstoke 2005), pp. 32-33.
 11. Ozkirimli, Umut, *Theories of Nationalism: A Critical Introduction*, (Macmillan, Basingstoke 2005), pp. 179-194.
 12. McClintock, Anne, 'Family Feuds: Gender, Nationalism and the Family', In *Feminist Review*, 44: 63.
 13. McClintock, Anne, 'Family Feuds: Gender, Nationalism and the Family', In *Feminist Review*, 44: 61-64.
 14. Chatterjee, P. 1993. *The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Post-Colonial Histories*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993) p. 119.
 15. Sangari, K. and Sudesh. Vaid ed., 1989. *Recasting Women: Essays in Colonial History*, (Kali for Women; New Delhi, pp. 10.
 16. Samarasinghe, V. 2000. 'Subverting Patriarchy? Leadership & Participation of Women in Politics in South-Asia', In *Ethnic Studies Report*, XVIII, 2: 196.
 17. Chatterjee, P. 1989. 'Colonialism, Nationalism and Colonialized Women: The Contest in India', In *American Ethnologist*, 16(4): p. 624.
 18. Chatterjee, P. 1989. 'Colonialism, Nationalism and Colonialized Women: The Contest in India', In *American Ethnologist*, 16(4): p. 623.
 19. Chatterjee, P. 1989. 'Colonialism, Nationalism and Colonialized Women: The Contest in India', In *American Ethnologist*, 16(4): pp. 624-625.
 20. Chatterjee, P. 'The Nationalist Resolution of the Women's Question', In K. Sangari & S. Vaid ed., *Recasting Women: Essays in Indian Colonial History*, (Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, 1990), p. 127.
 21. Chatterjee, P. 'The Nationalist Resolution of the Women's Question', In K. Sangari & S. Vaid ed., *Recasting Women: Essays in Indian Colonial History*, (Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, 1990), p. 239.
 22. Roy, P., *Indian Traffic: Identities in Question in Colonial and Post-Colonial India*, (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1998), p. 136.
 23. Roy, P., *Indian Traffic: Identities in Question in Colonial and Post-Colonial India*, (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1998), p. 135.
 24. Kumar, R., 1983. *The History of Doing: An Illustrated Account of Movements for Women's Rights, 1800 – 1990*, (Kali for Women, New Delhi, 1993), p. 83.
 25. Radhakrishnan, R. 1992. 'Nationalism, Gender, and Narrative'. In Andrew Parker, Mary Russo, Doris Sommer, & Patricia Yeager (eds.), *Nationalisms and Sexualities* (New York: Routledge, 1992), pp. 77-95.
 26. Naaz, H. 2017. 'Women's Sexuality in the Indian Nationalist Discourse', In *Feminism in India* (2017) <https://feminisminindia.com/2017/08/14/womens-sexuality-indian-nationalistdiscourse/>, Accessed 18/10/2022
 27. Banerjee, S. 2003. 'Gender and Nationalism: Masculinization of Hinduism and Female Political Participation in India', In *Women's Studies International Forum*, 26(2): 170.
 28. Vance, Norman, *The Sinews of the Spirit: The Ideal of Christian Manliness in Victorian Literature and Religious Thought*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1985), p.10.
 29. Quoted in Hingle, G. S, *Hindutva Reawakened*, (Vikas, New Delhi, 1999), p. 79.
 30. Banerjee, S. 2003. 'Gender and Nationalism: Masculinization of Hinduism and Female Political Participation in India', In *Women's Studies International Forum*, 26(2): 171.
 31. Minault, G. 1982. 'Purdah Politics: The Role of Muslim Women in Indian Nationalism, 1911-1924', In Hanna, Papanek & Gail, Minault ed. *From Pardah to Politics: The Social Feminism of the All India Women's organizations*, (Chanakya Publications, Delhi 1982).
 32. Minault, G. 1982. 'Purdah Politics: The Role of Muslim Women in Indian Nationalism, 1911-1924', In Hanna, Papanek & Gail, Minault ed. *From Pardah to Politics: The Social Feminism of the All India Women's organizations*, (Chanakya Publications, Delhi 1982), pp. 220 - 238.
 33. Jayawardena, K., *Feminism and Nationalism in the Third World*, (Zed Books, London, 1986), p. 108.
 34. Chatterjee, P. 1989. 'Colonialism, Nationalism and Colonialized Women: The Contest in India', In *American Ethnologist*, 16(4): p. 630.
 35. Sarkar, T., 1989. 'Politics and Women in Bengal: The Condition and Meaning of Participation', In Krishnamurthy ed., *Women in Political Colonial India:*

Essays on Survival, Work and State, (Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1989), p. 238.

36. Anagol, P., 2005. The Emergence of Feminism in Indian 1850-1920, (Ashgate, Aldershot, 2005).
37. Rao, S. 1999. 'Woman-As-Symbol: The Intersections of Identity Politics, Gender and Indian Nationalism', In Women's Studies International Forum, 22(3): 326.