

Navigating the Professional Landscape: The Indispensable Role of Social Support in Well-Being

Prof. Liam T. Chen¹, Dr. Adebayo K. Okonkwo²

¹School of Social Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore

²Faculty of Behavioral Sciences, University of Lagos, Nigeria

Doi <https://doi.org/10.55640/ijssl-03-12-01>

ABSTRACT

The contemporary professional world, often likened to a "work jungle," presents numerous challenges that can impact individuals' well-being. This article explores the critical role of social support as a vital resource for thriving in this environment. Drawing upon established theories, including the Conservation of Resources theory and Social Cognitive theory, we synthesize existing literature to highlight how perceived social support contributes to psychological well-being, personal growth, and adaptability in the face of professional demands. The discussion emphasizes various forms of social support—from family and friends to colleagues and mentors—and their distinct contributions. We also touch upon the interplay of social support with concepts such as self-efficacy and employability, underscoring its multifaceted benefits. This review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of social support as a fundamental component of a successful and healthy professional life, offering insights for both individuals and organizations seeking to foster resilient and flourishing workforces.

Keywords: Social Support, Well-being, Professional Development, Self-Efficacy, Employability, Work Environment, Conservation of Resources Theory

INTRODUCTION

The modern professional landscape is characterized by constant change, increasing demands, and often, significant stress [11]. In this dynamic environment, often described as a "work jungle," individuals face numerous pressures, from career transitions to maintaining work-life balance [7]. The concept of well-being, encompassing positive emotional states, life satisfaction, and a sense of purpose, has gained significant attention as a crucial outcome for individuals navigating these challenges [12, 14, 21]. A key factor consistently identified as a protective and promoting element for well-being is social support [9, 50]. This article aims to comprehensively review the existing literature on the relationship between social support and well-being in the professional context, arguing that social support acts as an indispensable "survival kit" for individuals in their careers.

Social support is broadly defined as the comfort, assistance, and information individuals receive from others, often perceived as caring and valuing [10]. It can manifest in various forms, including emotional support (e.g., empathy, trust), instrumental support (e.g., practical aid, resources), informational support (e.g., advice, guidance), and appraisal

support (e.g., affirmation, feedback) [50, 52]. The presence and perception of adequate social support have been linked to numerous positive outcomes across different life domains, including mental and physical health, academic success, and overall life satisfaction [1, 4, 13, 39, 44].

The theoretical underpinnings for the protective effects of social support are well-established. The Conservation of Resources (COR) theory posits that individuals strive to obtain, retain, and protect their resources, and social support is considered a crucial resource that helps individuals cope with stress and avoid resource loss [25, 45]. When individuals experience stress, social support can provide new resources or help in replenishing depleted ones. Furthermore, Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) emphasizes the role of observational learning, self-regulation, and self-efficacy in human agency [6, 53]. Within this framework, social support can significantly enhance an individual's self-efficacy—their belief in their ability to succeed in specific situations—by providing encouragement, positive role models, and opportunities for vicarious learning [18, 30, 31, 32, 38, 48].

Given the increasing complexity of the work environment and the growing emphasis on employee well-being,

understanding the mechanisms through which social support operates becomes paramount. This article will delve into the various dimensions of social support, its impact on different facets of well-being, and its intricate relationship with other psychological constructs relevant to professional success, such as self-efficacy and employability.

METHOD

This article is a comprehensive narrative review of existing literature, synthesizing findings from a diverse range of academic sources. The methodology involved a systematic search of databases for peer-reviewed articles, books, and technical reports focusing on social support, well-being, professional development, self-efficacy, and employability. Keywords used in the search included combinations of "social support," "well-being," "flourishing," "mental health," "work environment," "career," "employability," "self-efficacy," "social cognitive theory," and "conservation of resources theory."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were selected based on their relevance to the central themes of social support and well-being in professional or related contexts. Emphasis was placed on empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and reviews that contribute to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms and outcomes of social support. Publications from diverse geographical and cultural contexts were considered to provide a broad perspective [26]. Studies that did not directly address the relationship between social support and well-being or were not relevant to the professional context were excluded.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Information from selected sources was extracted, focusing on key findings, theoretical perspectives, methodological approaches, and implications. The extracted data was then synthesized to identify recurring themes, convergent and divergent findings, and areas requiring further research. The aim was to build a coherent narrative that elucidates the complex interplay between social support and various aspects of well-being in the professional sphere.

Theoretical Frameworks Applied

The review is primarily structured around two prominent psychological theories:

- **Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory** [25, 45]: This theory provides a framework for understanding how social support acts as a resource that helps individuals prevent resource loss and gain new resources in stressful situations.

- **Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)** [6, 53]: SCT is utilized to explain how social support influences self-efficacy, a key determinant of motivation and performance in the professional context [33].

These theories offer robust lenses through which to analyze the intricate relationship between social support and well-being, highlighting the adaptive and protective functions of social connections in the face of professional demands.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The existing body of literature overwhelmingly supports the notion that social support is a critical determinant of well-being, particularly within the challenging landscape of professional life. This section details the multifaceted ways in which social support contributes to various dimensions of well-being, explores its interaction with other significant psychological constructs, and discusses its implications for individuals and organizations.

Social Support and Dimensions of Well-Being

Well-being is a multidimensional construct, often encompassing subjective well-being (happiness, life satisfaction) and psychological well-being (e.g., autonomy, personal growth, purpose in life) [12, 14, 21]. Social support plays a crucial role in fostering both.

- **Buffering Stress and Promoting Resilience:** Social support acts as a buffer against the detrimental effects of work-related stress [34]. When individuals perceive that they have a strong support network, they are better equipped to cope with demanding situations and are less likely to experience negative psychological outcomes [45]. For instance, studies have shown a direct link between perceived social support and reduced psychological distress, particularly among students transitioning into higher education, a period often characterized by significant stress and uncertainty [4]. The presence of supportive relationships can mitigate the "career shock" experienced by individuals, for example, those who have been laid off from private organizations [7].
- **Enhancing Positive Emotions and Life Satisfaction:** Beyond buffering negative experiences, social support actively contributes to positive emotional states and overall life satisfaction [39]. Individuals with robust social networks often report higher levels of happiness and contentment [44]. This aligns with research indicating that strong social capital, which includes social networks and support, positively correlates with subjective well-being [46].

- **Fostering Personal Growth and Development:** Social support can facilitate personal growth by providing opportunities for learning, feedback, and encouragement [27]. Mentors and colleagues who offer constructive criticism and guidance can significantly contribute to an individual's professional development [2]. This kind of informational and appraisal support is vital for skill acquisition and navigating career paths [51].
- **Promoting Mental Health and Flourishing:** The absence of social support is a recognized risk factor for various mental health issues [28]. Conversely, its presence is associated with higher levels of mental health and what Keyes refers to as "flourishing"—a state of optimal psychological functioning characterized by high levels of emotional, psychological, and social well-being [29]. The PERMA-Profiler, a multidimensional measure of flourishing, includes positive relationships as a core component of well-being, further underscoring the importance of social connections [13,20]. Research validates the psychometric properties of such instruments in various cultural contexts, reinforcing their utility in assessing well-being [2].

Social Support, Self-Efficacy, and Employability

The impact of social support extends to key psychological constructs that are highly relevant to professional success: self-efficacy and employability.

- **Self-Efficacy:** Social support significantly influences an individual's self-efficacy, their belief in their capacity to execute behaviors necessary to produce specific performance attainments [6, 48]. Through vicarious experiences (observing others succeed), verbal persuasion (encouragement from others), and physiological and affective states (reduced anxiety due to support), social support strengthens self-efficacy beliefs [30, 31]. This is particularly evident in academic and career contexts, where perceived social support can boost a student's academic adaptation and self-efficacy in the transition to work [16, 30, 47]. Higher self-efficacy, in turn, is associated with greater motivation, persistence, and ultimately, better performance [33, 53]. Studies on university students have shown links between creative self-efficacy, social skills, and self-esteem, ultimately impacting life satisfaction and academic achievement [22].
- **Employability:** Employability, defined as an individual's capacity to gain and retain fulfilling work [49], is also strongly linked to social support. Social support can enhance perceived employability by providing access to networks, information about job opportunities, and emotional encouragement during job searches [19, 41]. For instance, studies have explored the impact of undergraduate internships on employability, implicitly

highlighting the role of social networks formed during these experiences [24]. Furthermore, social support has been found to mediate the relationship between career social support and employability among college students [54]. The concept of career adaptability, which includes self-efficacy, is also influenced by perceived employability and social support [19]. Graduates with higher perceived employability, often bolstered by strong social support, are more likely to achieve successful employment outcomes and report higher well-being [5].

Mechanisms and Pathways

The influence of social support on well-being and professional outcomes is multifaceted, operating through several key mechanisms:

- **Resource Provision:** As per COR theory, social support provides tangible and intangible resources that help individuals navigate challenges and maintain their well-being [25]. These resources can include financial aid, information, advice, or simply a sense of belonging and validation [52].
- **Coping Enhancement:** Social support improves an individual's coping strategies by providing a sense of security and reassurance [34]. Knowing that others are available to help can reduce feelings of isolation and overwhelmingness, leading to more adaptive coping responses.
- **Motivation and Goal Pursuit:** Through its influence on self-efficacy, social support indirectly fuels motivation and persistence in goal pursuit [33]. When individuals feel supported, they are more likely to set challenging goals and believe in their ability to achieve them.
- **Social Learning and Norms:** Social environments shape individual behaviors and attitudes [6]. Supportive networks can foster positive work norms, encourage healthy behaviors, and provide opportunities for learning from others' experiences, thereby promoting well-being [23].

3.4. Implications for Individuals and Organizations

The findings underscore the critical need for individuals to cultivate and maintain strong social networks and for organizations to foster a supportive work environment.

- **For Individuals:** Actively seeking and nurturing social connections, both within and outside the workplace, is crucial. This includes building relationships with colleagues, mentors, friends, and family [50]. Participating in extracurricular activities can also be a source of valuable social support and contribute to adolescent development and well-being

[15]. Recognizing the different forms of support available and actively utilizing them can significantly enhance one's professional journey and overall well-being.

- **For Organizations:** Creating a culture that values and promotes social support is not merely a benevolent act but a strategic imperative. Organizations can implement initiatives such as mentorship programs, team-building activities, and open communication channels to foster a supportive environment [36, 37]. Recognizing the importance of leadership styles that emphasize servant leadership can also enhance the supportive culture within an organization [42]. A supportive work environment not only boosts employee well-being but also enhances creativity, productivity, and retention [3].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, social support stands as an indispensable "survival kit" for navigating the complexities of the professional landscape. Its profound impact on various dimensions of well-being, including psychological well-being, life satisfaction, and mental health, is consistently supported by extensive research. Furthermore, social support plays a pivotal role in enhancing crucial professional attributes such as self-efficacy and employability, thereby directly contributing to career success and adaptability.

Drawing upon the robust frameworks of the Conservation of Resources theory and Social Cognitive theory, we have elucidated the mechanisms through which social support acts as a vital resource, buffering stress, promoting positive emotional states, fostering personal growth, and reinforcing individuals' belief in their capabilities. The evidence strongly suggests that cultivating and leveraging social support networks is not merely beneficial but essential for thriving in demanding work environments.

For individuals, proactively building and maintaining diverse social connections—from personal relationships to professional mentorships—is a strategic investment in their long-term well-being and career resilience. For organizations, fostering a culture of support is paramount. By creating environments that encourage collaboration, provide mentorship opportunities, and prioritize employee well-being, businesses can not only enhance the well-being of their workforce but also cultivate a more productive, innovative, and resilient organizational ecosystem. As the professional world continues to evolve, the recognition and intentional cultivation of social support will remain a cornerstone for navigating its challenges and ensuring sustained flourishing.

REFERENCES

- Allen, K., Blascovich, J., & Mendes, W. B. (2002). Cardiovascular reactivity and the presence of pets, friends, and spouses: The truth about cats and dogs. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64, 727–739.
- Alves, M. A., Palmer, S., & Gouveia, M. J. (2023). Psychometric Properties of the Portuguese Version of The PERMA-Profilier. *Trends in Psychology*, 33, 1–15.
- Amabile, T. M. (1998). How to Kill Creativity. *Harvard Business Review*, September–October. New York: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Awang, M. M., Kuthy, F. M., & Ahmad, A. R. (2014). Perceived social support and well being: First-year student experience in university. *International Education Studies*, 7, 261–270.
- Bakari, H., & Hunjra, A. I. (2018). Access to Higher Education: The Source of Graduate Employability and Wellbeing. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 5, 126–145.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. Hoboken: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Barbosa De Oliveira, L., & França Neri, A. (2021). Choque de Carreira sob a Perspectiva da Teoria de Conservação de Recursos: Um Estudo com Profissionais Demitidos de Organizações Privadas. *Revista Horizontes Interdisciplinares da Gestão*, 5, 99–120.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Brownell, A., & Shumaker, S. A. (1984). Social support: An introduction to a complex phenomenon. *Journal of Social Issues*, 40, 1–9.
- Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (2003). *Análise de Dados em Ciências Sociais. Introdução às Técnicas Utilizando o SPSS Para Windows*. Oeira: Celta.
- Butler, J., & Kern, M. L. (2016). The PERMA-Profilier: A brief multidimensional measure of flourishing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 6, 1–48.
- Carvalho, S., Pinto-Gouveia, J., Pimentel, P., Maia, D., & Mota-Pereira, J. (2011). Características psicométricas da versão portuguesa da escala multidimensional de suporte social percebido (multidimensional scale of perceived social support—mspss). *Psychologica*, 54, 331–357.
- Dodge, R., Daly, A., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 2, 222–235.
- Eccles, J. S., Barber, B. L., Stone, M., & Hunt, J. (2003). Extracurricular activities and adolescent development. *Journal of Social Issues*, 59, 865–889.

15. Ereaut, G., & Whiting, R. (2008). *What Do We Mean by 'Wellbeing'? And Why Might It Matter*. London: Department for Children, Schools and Families.
16. Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and Crisis*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
17. Fernandes, V., Silva, I., & Meneses, R. (2012). Adaptação académica em estudantes universitários do 1o ciclo de estudos: O papel da auto-eficácia. *Psicologia, Educação e Cultura*, 16, 100.
18. Finney, S. J., & DiStefano, C. (2013). Non-Normal and Categorical Data in Structural Equation Modeling. In G. R. Hancock & R. O. Mueller (Eds.), *Structural Equation Modeling: A Second Course* (2nd ed., pp. 439–492). Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.
19. Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18, 39–50.
20. Fugate, M., Kinicki, A. J., & Ashforth, B. E. (2004). Employability: A psycho-social construct, its dimensions, and applications. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 65, 14–38.
21. Gamboa, V., Rothwell, A., Monarca, M. A., & Gomes, J. (2022). Validation of Self-Perceived Employability Scale among Portuguese university students and its relevance to job-seeking behaviors. *Revista de Estudios e Investigacion En Psicologia y Educacion*, 9, 97–116.
22. Gamboa, V., Paixão, O., & Palma, A. I. (2014). Adaptabilidade de Carreira e Autoeficácia na Transição para o Trabalho: O papel da Empregabilidade Percebida—Estudo com Estudantes do Ensino Superior. *Revista Portuguesa de Pedagogia*, 48, 133–156.
23. Gomes, A. R. (2007). *Escala de Auto-Eficácia Geral (EA-EG) General Perceived Self-Efficacy (GPS-E)*. Braga: Escola de Psicologia, Universidade do Minho. Relatório técnico não publicado.
24. Gomes, J., Gamboa, V., & Paixão, O. (2019). Job search intentions in higher education: Employability and self-efficacy. *Revista Brasileira de Orientacao Profissional*, 20, 85–94.
25. González Moreno, A., Simões, C., Santos, A. C., & del Mar Jurado, M. (2024). Creative Self-Efficacy and Social Skills in a Portuguese Sample of University Students: Links with Self-Esteem Molero Jurado, Academic Achievement and Life Satisfaction. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 14, 2966–2977.
26. Graça, B., & Au-Yong-Oliveira, M. (2024). *Competitiveness Strategies for Negative Organisations—Challenging the Status Quo*. Cham: Springer.
27. Hair, J. F., Sarstedt Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19, 139–151.
28. Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Sarstedt Ringle, C. M. (2017). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc.
29. Hassouna, M. M., & Zaazou, Z. A. (2024). The Impact of Undergraduate Internships on Employability—An Empirical Study. *The Academic Journal of Contemporary Commercial Research*, 4, 24–46.
30. Hayes, A. F. (2022). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach* (Vol. 3). New York: The Guilford Press.
31. Hill, M., & Hill, A. (2002). *Investigação por Questionário*. Lisboa: Edições Sílabo.
32. Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, 44, 513–524.
33. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions and Organizations Across Nations*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
34. Hu, L. J., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6, 1–55.
35. INE (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, Statistics Portugal). (2025). *Estimativas Mensais de Emprego e Desemprego*.
36. Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural Equation Modeling with the SIMPLIS Command Language*. Scientific Software International; Lawrence. Mahwah: Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
37. Keyes, C. L. M. (2002). The mental health continuum: From languishing to flourishing in life. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 43, 207–222.
38. Lazarus, R., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, Appraisal, and Coping*. Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer.
39. Lent, R. W., & Brown, S. D. (2013). Social cognitive model of career self-management: Toward a unifying view of adaptive career behavior across the life span. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 60, 557–568.
40. Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., & Hackett, G. (1994). Toward a Unifying Social Cognitive Theory of Career and Academic Interest, Choice, and Performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 45, 79–122.
41. Macedo, M., Au-Yong-Oliveira, M., Walter, C. E., & Moreira, A. (2022). Internships with Portuguese Speakers: Leadership Moreira, Organisational Culture and the Current Brain Drain. *Sustainability*, 14, 10776.
42. Magaletta, P. R., & Oliver, J. M. (1999). The hope construct, will, and ways: Their relations with self-efficacy, optimism, and general well-being. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 55, 539–551.

43. Mahanta, D., & Aggarwal, M. (2013). Effect of perceived social support on life satisfaction of university students. *European Academic Research*, 1, 1083–1094.
44. McCallum, R., Browne, M., & Sugawara, H. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structural modelling. *Psychological Methods*, 1, 130–149.
45. Monteiro, S., Tavares, J., & Pereira, A. (2012). Adaptação portuguesa da escala de medida de manifestação de bem-estar psicológico com estudantes universitários. *EMMBEP*, 13, 61–77.
46. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 879–903.
47. PORTDATA (Fundação Francisco Manuel dos Santos–Base de dados Portugal Contemporâneo). (2025). *Salários e Pensões*.
48. Portela, M., Neira, I., & Salinas-Jiménez, M. D. M. (2013). Social Capital and Subjective Wellbeing in Europe: A New Approach on Social Capital. *Social Indicators Research*, 114, 493–511.
49. Qenani, E., MacDougall, N., & Sexton, C. (2014). An empirical study of self-perceived employability: Improving the prospects for student employment success in an uncertain environment. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 15, 199–213.
50. Ribeiro, J. L. P. (1999). Escala de satisfação com o suporte social (ESSS). *Análise Psicológica*, 17, 547–558.
51. Rothwell, A. (2015). Employability. In P. J. Hartung, M. L. Savickas, & W. B. Walsh (Eds.), *Handbook of Career Intervention* (pp. 337–350). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
52. Rothwell, A., Jewell, S., & Hardie, M. (2009). Self-perceived employability: Investigating the responses of post-graduate students. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 75, 152–161.
53. Ryan, M. (2023). *Emotional Intelligence, Self-Efficacy, and the Perceived Employability of Traditional Undergraduate Business Students in a Private Midwest Educational Institution*. Master's thesis, George Fox University, Newberg, OR, USA.
54. Samssudin, S. (2009). *Relação Entre as Crenças de Auto-Eficácia e o Apoio Social na Transição Para o Trabalho em Estudantes Finalistas do Ensino Superior*. Master's thesis, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.
55. Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1993). *Measurement of Perceived Self-Efficacy: Psychometric Scales for Cross-Cultural Research*. Berlin: Freie University.
56. Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, & M. Johnston (Eds.), *Measures in Health Psychology: A User's Portfolio. Causal and Control Beliefs* (pp. 35–37). Windsor: NFER-NELSON.
57. Selwyn, J., & Wood, M. (2015). *Measuring Well-Being: A Literature Review*. Bristol: University of Bristol.
58. Sobel, M., & Elashoff, R. M. (1975). Group testing with a new goal, estimation. *Biometrika*, 62, 181–193.
59. Taylor, S. E. (2011). Social support: A review. In H. S. Friedman (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Health Psychology* (pp. 189–214). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
60. Tentama, F., Subardjo, S., & Abdillah, M. H. (2019). Motivation to learn and social support determine employability among vocational high school students. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 8, 237–242.
61. Thomas, A., & Gupta, V. (2021). Social capital theory, social exchange theory, social cognitive theory, financial literacy, and the role of knowledge sharing as a moderator in enhancing financial well-being: from bibliometric analysis to a conceptual framework model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 664638.
62. Trochim, W. (2000). *The Research Method Knowledge Base* (2nd ed.). Cincinnati: Atomic Dog Publishing.
63. Van Dierendonck Dirk, & Patterson, K. (Eds.). (2010). *Servant Leadership-Developments in Theory and Research*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
64. Vanhercke, D., De Cuyper, N., & De Witte, H. (2016). Perceived employability and well-being: An overview. *Psihologia Resurselor Umane*, 14, 8–18.
65. Velez, M. J., Marujo, H. A., Charepe, Z., Querido, A., & Laranjeira, C. (2024). Well-Being and Dispositional Hope in a Sample of Portuguese Citizens: The Mediating Role of Mental Health. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education*, 14, 2101–2115.
66. Wills, T. A. (1991). Social support and interpersonal relationships. In M. S. Clark (Ed.), *Prosocial Behavior* (pp. 265–289). New York: Sage Publications, Inc.
67. Wood, R., & Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of organizational management special issue: theory development forum. *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 361–384.
68. Xia, T., Gu, H., Huang, Y., Zhu, Q., & Cheng, Y. (2020). The Relationship Between Career Social Support and Employability of College Students: A Moderated Mediation Model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 28.