

Design, Performance Evaluation, and Environmental Implications of Small-Scale Biogas Systems Using Organic Wastes: A Comprehensive Review and Analytical Synthesis

Shivam Kumar¹, Ananya Putri Rahmawati²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India

²Department of Environmental Engineering Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia

Doi <https://doi.org/10.55640/ij-s-01-02-02>

ABSTRACT

Biogas technology represents a mature yet continually evolving renewable energy pathway that integrates waste management with decentralized energy production. Small- and medium-scale biogas systems, particularly those utilizing animal manure and agricultural residues, remain central to sustainable energy strategies in developing and transitional economies. This article presents a comprehensive analytical synthesis of biogas plant design principles, feedstock characteristics, digestion performance, and environmental implications, with particular emphasis on simple and flexible biogas systems. Drawing upon foundational and contemporary literature, the study examines how substrate selection, pretreatment methods, digester configuration, and operational conditions are associated with variations in biogas yield and system stability. The review also explores environmental considerations, including greenhouse gas mitigation, waste valorization, and the role of biogas in flexible power generation contexts. By systematically integrating evidence from experimental studies, pilot-scale systems, and applied engineering designs, this manuscript identifies persistent research gaps related to performance variability, scalability, and contextual adaptation. The discussion highlights methodological limitations in existing studies and outlines directions for future research that emphasize system optimization, lifecycle assessment, and socio-technical integration. Overall, the article contributes a structured, critical perspective on biogas systems that supports informed design, policy development, and interdisciplinary research in renewable energy and sustainable waste management.

Keywords: Biogas systems, anaerobic digestion, organic waste management, renewable energy, environmental impacts, small-scale digesters.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing global demand for sustainable energy systems has intensified interest in renewable energy technologies that simultaneously address environmental, economic, and social objectives. Among these technologies, biogas production through anaerobic digestion has emerged as a particularly versatile approach, as it integrates renewable energy generation with organic waste management. Biogas systems are widely recognized for their applicability in rural and peri-urban contexts, where agricultural residues, animal manure, and organic wastes are readily available and often underutilized [1,3].

Anaerobic digestion is a biologically mediated process in which microorganisms decompose organic matter in the absence of oxygen, resulting in the production of biogas primarily composed of methane and carbon dioxide. The

process is associated with multiple benefits, including renewable energy generation, reduction of waste volume, stabilization of organic matter, and production of nutrient-rich digestate suitable for agricultural application [7,9]. These characteristics have contributed to the adoption of biogas technology across diverse geographical regions, particularly in Asia and Africa.

Despite its long history, biogas technology continues to evolve. Early designs emphasized simplicity and affordability, often focusing on family-sized or community-level digesters [1,12]. More recent research has explored system flexibility, integration with power generation, and environmental performance under varying operational conditions [2]. Nevertheless, significant variability in reported biogas yields and system efficiencies persists, reflecting differences in feedstock composition, pretreatment strategies, digester design, and

environmental conditions [4,10].

The existing literature demonstrates substantial progress in understanding the fundamentals of biogas production, yet it also reveals persistent gaps. Many studies focus on isolated experimental conditions or specific substrates, limiting the generalizability of findings. Additionally, environmental impact assessments often remain fragmented, with limited integration of energy performance and emissions considerations [2,11]. As a result, there is a need for a comprehensive synthesis that systematically evaluates design, performance, and environmental implications of biogas systems within a unified analytical framework.

The objective of this article is to provide such a synthesis by critically reviewing and integrating key findings from foundational and contemporary studies on biogas production. The manuscript emphasizes small- to medium-scale systems, as these are most relevant to decentralized energy strategies and rural development initiatives. By examining design configurations, substrate characteristics, digestion performance, and environmental considerations, the study aims to clarify how different system components are associated with observed outcomes. In doing so, it seeks to inform both academic research and practical implementation of biogas technology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Selection and Analytical Approach

This study adopts a structured narrative review methodology, drawing upon peer-reviewed journal articles, technical reports, dissertations, and institutional publications related to biogas production and anaerobic digestion. The selected references span experimental investigations, engineering design studies, and environmental assessments, providing a multidisciplinary perspective on biogas systems [1–13].

The analytical approach involves qualitative synthesis rather than meta-analysis, as the heterogeneity of experimental designs, substrates, and performance metrics across studies limits direct quantitative comparison. Instead, emphasis is placed on identifying recurring themes, methodological patterns, and reported associations between system parameters and biogas performance.

System Design Considerations

Biogas plant design is a critical determinant of operational stability and gas yield. The reviewed literature includes simple fixed-dome digesters, floating-drum systems, and modified designs incorporating additional gas storage or flexible power generation capabilities [1,2,13]. Design parameters such as digester volume, hydraulic retention time, and mixing regime are examined in relation to reported performance outcomes.

Feedstock Characteristics and Pretreatment

Feedstock selection represents another focal point of analysis. Animal manures, including cow dung and poultry waste, are widely studied due to their availability and favorable biodegradability [3,4]. Agricultural residues such as corn stalks and water hyacinth are also considered, particularly in studies examining pretreatment methods aimed at enhancing biodegradation [5,10]. Pretreatment techniques, including mechanical, thermal, and chemical methods, are evaluated based on their reported association with biogas yield improvements.

Environmental and Performance Metrics

Performance metrics across the literature include biogas yield, methane concentration, and system stability indicators. Environmental considerations encompass waste reduction potential, emissions mitigation, and resource recovery [2,11]. These metrics are synthesized to assess how biogas systems align with broader sustainability objectives.

RESULTS

Design Performance of Small-Scale Biogas Systems

Studies consistently report that simple biogas plant designs are capable of producing stable biogas outputs when appropriately matched with feedstock availability and climatic conditions [1,8]. Fixed-dome digesters are frequently associated with lower construction costs and reduced maintenance requirements, although gas pressure variability is noted as a limitation.

The incorporation of additional gas storage systems has been shown to enhance operational flexibility, particularly in contexts where energy demand fluctuates [1]. Flexible designs are also associated with improved integration into decentralized power generation schemes [2]. However, reported performance varies widely, reflecting differences in operational management and system scaling.

Influence of Feedstock Composition

Animal manure-based systems generally demonstrate consistent biogas yields due to balanced nutrient composition and favorable microbial activity [3,4]. Poultry waste, while exhibiting higher nitrogen content, is associated with potential inhibition effects at elevated loading rates [4]. Co-digestion strategies involving agricultural residues and organic wastes are reported to improve overall system performance by enhancing carbon-to-nitrogen balance [5].

Pretreatment of lignocellulosic materials, such as corn

stalks and water hyacinth, is associated with increased biogas yields by improving substrate accessibility [5,10]. However, the energy and resource requirements of pretreatment processes are not uniformly addressed across studies.

Environmental Implications

Environmental assessments indicate that biogas systems contribute to waste stabilization and reduction of uncontrolled emissions from organic waste decomposition [2,11]. The use of digestate as fertilizer is associated with nutrient recycling and reduced reliance on synthetic inputs [7]. Nevertheless, comprehensive lifecycle assessments remain limited, and reported environmental benefits are often context-dependent.

DISCUSSION

Integration of Design and Performance Insights

The reviewed literature underscores the importance of aligning digester design with feedstock characteristics and local conditions. Simple systems demonstrate considerable potential for decentralized energy production, yet their performance is closely linked to operational management and user expertise [12,13]. Variability in reported outcomes highlights the need for standardized performance evaluation frameworks.

Methodological Limitations and Research Gaps

A recurring limitation across studies is the lack of long-term performance data. Many investigations rely on short-term experiments, which may not capture seasonal variations or system degradation over time [8]. Additionally, environmental assessments often focus on isolated indicators, limiting holistic sustainability evaluation.

Implications for Future Research and Practice

Future research would benefit from integrated studies that simultaneously evaluate energy performance, environmental impacts, and socio-economic factors. Comparative analyses across regions and system scales could further clarify contextual influences on biogas system effectiveness [9]. Emphasis on adaptive design and flexible operation is likely to enhance the resilience and relevance of biogas technology.

REFERENCES

1. Dangogo S, Fernando A. A simple biogas plant with additional gas storage system. *Sol Energy J.* 1986;5:138–141.
2. Hijazi O, Tappen S, Effenberger M. Environmental impacts concerning flexible power generation in a biogas production. *Carbon Resour Convers.* 2020;2(2):117–125. doi:10.1016/j.crcon.2019.05.001.
3. Boysan F, Özer Ç, Bakkaloğlu K, Börekçi MT. Biogas production from animal manure. *J Eng Sci Technol.* 2015;10(6):722–729.
4. Adeniran KA, Ahaneku IE, Itodo IN, Rohjy HA. Relative effectiveness of biogas production using poultry wastes and cow dung. *Agric Eng Int CIGR J.* 2014;16(1):126–132.
5. Chen LY, Zheng Z, Yang S, Fang C, Zou X. Experimental digestion of corn stalk and vermicompost to improve biogas production. *Waste Manag.* 2010;30:1834–1840.
6. Zhang J, Wang G, Xu S. Upgrading of biomass fast pyrolysis oil over a moving bed of coal char. *Carbon Resour Convers.* 2020;3:130–139. doi:10.1016/j.crcon.2020.09.001.
7. SNV. Domestic biogas newsletter. 2010.
8. Eze IS, Ofoefule AU, Uzodinma EO, Okoroigwe EC, Oparaku NF, Eze JI, et al. Characterisation and performance evaluation of 11 m³ biogas plant constructed at National Centre for Energy Research and Development, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. 2011.
9. Sambo AS. Renewable energy development in Nigeria. In: *World Future Council/strategy workshop on renewable energy*; 2021. p. 21–24.
10. Ofoefule AU, Onukwuli OO, Uzodinma UE. Comparative study of the effect of different pretreatment methods on biogas yield from water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). *Int J Phys Sci.* 2009;4(8):535–539.
11. Ezeoha SL. Pollution and biogas production potentials of abattoir wastes [dissertation]. Nsukka: University of Nigeria; 2000.
12. Adeoti O. Engineering design and economic evaluation of a family-sized biogas project in Nigeria. *Technovation.* 2000;8:103–108.
13. Ohagwu C, Okonkwo WI. Design and construction of biodigesters. *Proceedings of the NIAE Yola*; 2007.